

City of Albuquerque  
Department of Municipal Development

Martin J. Chávez, Mayor

4 May 2006

**TO:** Bruce J. Perlman, Ph.D., Chief Administrative Officer

**FROM:** John R. Castillo, P.E., Director, Department of Municipal Development

**SUBJECT:** Recommendation of Award: RFP06-012-SV, "Street Division Computer Programs for Inventory Rating System Work Load Management System"

The City of Albuquerque Department of Finance and Administrative Services, Purchasing Division, issued the subject Request for Proposals (RFP) for the Department of Municipal Development, to solicit Proposals from qualified companies to provide professional services to obtain computer programs for use in Asset Management for the Street System. The programs shall be used for managing the condition of the streets as well as for use in programming maintenance operations, managing both independent contractors and City of Albuquerque operations. In addition to the computer programs and required training and support services we will be able to provide field evaluations of the street inventory with automated street pavement distress vehicles and manual observation of street distress factors to establish a pavement condition score for input into the Asset Management program. The programs and information shall be linked with the City's GIS data base and facilitate data entry and reports with ArcGIS. The RFP was reviewed and approved by the TRC.

The RFP was posted on the Purchasing Web Site and advertised in the local newspapers. Eight RFP's were mailed to interested vendors. Four Proposals were submitted for evaluation.

The ad hoc evaluation committee reviewed, evaluated and scored the responses, in accordance with the evaluation criteria published in the RFP and recommends award of contract to Stantec Consulting, Inc. None of the respondents qualified for an additional 5% Local Preference. Three respondents, including Stantec were awarded an additional 50 points for participation in the Community Involvement program.

Listed in ranking order are top three respondent's composite score and average scores.

<u>Offeror</u>	<u>Composite Score</u>	<u>Average Score</u>	<u>Base Price</u>
*Stantec Consulting, Inc.	5099	850	\$341,485
GBA MS	4617	770	\$507,820
*Deighton	3784	631	\$746,000

\*50 points for participation in the "Community Involvement" program has been applied to Offeror's total composite score.

4 May 2006

Bruce J. Perlman, Ph.D.

Recommendation of Award: RFP06-012-SV

Page 2.


Fund Source: CIP Fund 340 Activity 7100010


I concur with the ad hoc committee's recommendation. The Department will begin contract negotiations immediately upon your approval.

Per the City Purchases Ordinance, this recommendation will be forwarded to City Council for final approval.

**Approved:**

**Recommended:**

  
Bruce J. Perlman, Ph.D. 5/9/06  
Chief Administrative Officer (Date)

  
Ed Adams 5-9-06  
Chief Operating Officer (Date)

Attachment: Composite Score Sheet

Original: Sandy Vescovi, Contract Section Supervisor, DFAS, Purchasing Division  
Copy: John J. Vigil, DFAS, Acting Purchasing Officer  
Tanda Meadors, Director, Department of Finance & Administrative Services  
File: RFP06-012-SV

Composite Score:  
RFP06-012-SV, "Street Division Computer Programs for Inventory Rating System Work Load Management System"

EVALUATORS	EVALUATION CRITERIA	EVALUATION FACTORS	OFFERORS			
			DEIGHTON	GBA MS	IMS	STANTEC
AH	Offeror's general approach & plans to meet the requirements of the RFP& ability to meet the Scope of Services using current "off the shelf" software	Up to 200	160	170	140	180
RN			100	150	50	150
KT			165	170	160	175
BO			100	150	125	175
PC			150	140	140	150
MB			120	160	110	180
	SUB TOTAL		795	940	725	1010
AH	Offeror's detailed plans to meet the objectives of each task, activity, etc. on the required schedule. This is to include adequacy of project management and resources to be utilized by the Offeror	Up to 200	160	180	140	180
RN			100	150	50	150
KT			165	165	160	170
BO			75	150	75	175
PC			150	150	140	140
MB			120	180	110	190
	SUB TOTAL		770	975	675	1005
AH	Experience & qualifications of the Offeror & Personnel as shown on staff resumes to perform the tasks described in Part 3, Scope of Services including the Offeror's past performance on projects of similar scope and size	Up to 200	160	160	160	160
RN			100	150	50	150
KT			165	165	170	160
BO			125	175	125	150
PC			140	140	140	140
MB			120	180	110	185
	SUB TOTAL		810	970	755	945
AH	The overall ability of the Offeror, as judged by the evaluation committee, to successfully complete the project within the proposed schedule. This judgment will be based upon such factors as the project management plan and availability of staff and resources.	Up to 200	50	160	160	160
RN			100	150	50	150
KT			165	170	170	170
BO			100	100	125	175
PC			150	140	140	145
MB			120	175	100	174
	SUB TOTAL		685	895	745	974
AH	Offeror's proposed solution will be installed and data stored on City hardware.	Up to 100	80	80	30	80
RN			50	75	25	75
KT			80	85	85	90
BO			100	100	100	100
PC			70	75	75	80
MB			60	80	60	90
	SUB TOTAL		440	495	375	515
	TOTAL TECHNICAL SCORE	Up to 900	3500	4275	3275	4449
AH	Cost Proposal	Up to 100	39	57	71	100
RN			39	57	71	100
KT			39	57	71	100
BO			39	57	71	100
PC			39	57	71	100
MB			39	57	71	100
	SUB TOTAL		234	342	426	600
	TOTAL COMPOSITE SCORE		3734	4617	3701	5049
	5% Local Preference		0	0	0	0
	Community Involvement	50 points	50	0	50	50
	Total Composite Score		3784	4617	3751	5099
	TOTAL AVERAGE SCORE		631	770	625	850